

I believe that Sinclair Broadcasting's decision to force their stations to air an anti-Kerry documentary days before the election is a blatant example of the dangers of allowing media consolidation.

Since Sinclair uses the public airwaves free of charge, and is suppose to be obligated by law to serve the public interest, they should not be allowed to dictate there personal views or politics to the general public under the guise of news. When large companies control the airwaves, we get more of what's good for the bottom line and less of what we need for our democracy. It's more important that we see real people from our own communities and more substantive news about issues that matter.

Sinclair's actions show why we need to strengthen media ownership rules, not weaken them. They show why the license renewal process needs to involve more than a returned postcard. Thank you.